Data Sources

The map at right represents soils of the Willamette River Basin (WRB) ranked by land use capability classes, a general measure of the suitability of soils for agricultural field crop production. Data from two of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) soil geographic data bases were used to create the map: the Soil Survey Geographic data base (SSURGO) and the State Soil Geographic data base (STATSGO). The most detailed information about soil properties is contained in SSURGO, data appropriate for use with areas ranging in size from an individual agricultural field up to entire counties. SSURGO data are compiled using field observation methods or are based on aerial photography. STATSGO maps are designed for use in planning and natural resource management at a multistate or regional scale. As shown in Figure 9, Map 2 contains data from both sources. STATSGO data are unavailable.



Figure 9. Areas of WRB described by SSURGO and STATSGO data. Each SSURGO soil unit (polygon) represents a component that is associated with 60 properties and 84 data elements such as hydrologic group and erodibility factor.

Soil Classification

Soil science provides a comprehensive taxonomic classification for soils. This scientific classification is based on underlying geology, and the formation processes, composition, physical structure, and chemical properties of soils. Technical or interpretive classification systems consider specific land uses and management practices such as agricultural production, building development, and wildlife management. These are developed by experts in a specific field through interpretation of the comprehensive taxonomic system.

The scientific classification system used by the USDA National Cooperative Soil Survey has six hierarchical levels of taxonomic classification: order, suborder, great group, sub group, family, and series. A soil order, the most general level, contains soils that have similar processes and degrees of formation. A soil series, the finest level of description, comprises soils with similar composition and major soil horizons.

Map 2 at right depicts soils based on an interpretive classification system designed to indicate the suitability of soils for agricultural crop production. This system assigns a land use capability classification from I to VIII (this is also known as soil capability classification). Figures 10 and 11 show the percentage of these capability classes within the WRB and its 1995 urban growth boundaries. Class I soils present the fewest limitations and are the most suitable for agricultural crop production. As the capability classification number increases, soil limitations increase and suitability for agricultural crop production decreases. Soil capability is further described with the addition of subclass and unit labels. The most complete description of a soil capability class is in the form IVe2. The Roman numeral indicates the degree of limitation, the lower case letter (subclass) describes the type of limitation (e- erosion potential, w- wet soil, s- shallow soil) and the Arabic number (unit) characterizes appropriate crops and management practices.

Land Use Planning and Resource Management

Soil properties and characteristics are important considerations in determining how land is used. Choices arise when land is well suited for many uses. For example, attributes such as gentle slopes, availability of water, and adequate drainage characterize many agricultural soils in the Willamette Valley and also make them valuable for building and urban development.

The Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development uses soil capability classes to define Agricultural Land and High-Value Farmland in Administrative Rule #660-033-0010-20 for implementation of Goal 3 in Oregon's Statewide Planning Goals (see p. 72). In western Oregon, Agricultural Land is defined as being soils predominantly in capability classes I-IV. High-Value Farmland includes all soils in capability classes I and II, and soils in subclasses IIIe, IIIw, IVe, and IVw. Land within acknowledged urban growth boundaries (UGBs) is not considered Agricultural Land and is exempt from Goal 3. Figure 12 and Table 2 show acreages of soil capability classes within the WRB and its 1995 UGBs.



Figure 10. Percentage of soil capability classes within WRB.

Figure 11. Percentage of soil capability classes inside 1995 urban growth boundaries within WRB.

UGB	Class I	Class II	Class III	Class IV	Class V	Class VI	Class VII	Class VIII	Unknown
Portland Metro	4,149	75,777	51,781	24,930	53	15,966	2,911	40,809	10,218
Salem	1,333	24,441	10,733	4,170	0	1,013	227	38	1,687
Corvallis- Philomath	900	6,505	3,785	7,547	0	1,188	52	0	584
Albany- Millersburg	1,741	6,799	3,550	3,554	0	145	0	490	629
Eugene- Springfield	10,686	13,788	6,558	10,603	0	3,501	304	2,038	1,374
Subtotal	18,809	123,310	76,406	50,804	53	21,813	3,495	43,375	14,492
Other UGBs	6,909	42,207	18,334	9,648	0	5,752	181	1,827	2,805
UGB Total	25,718	165,517	94,740	60,451	53	27,566	3,676	45,202	17,297

Table 2. Acres of soil capability classes inside 1995 urban growthboundaries within WRB.Note: 2.47 acres equal one hectare.



Soil Maps and Representation

Maps represent soils as uniform areas with well-defined boundaries. As with other land features such as vegetation and geology, soils can be heterogeneous complexes without distinct boundaries. Soil map delineations represent the dominant soil type in an area, but, like all maps, may include errors in classification and boundary position.

Figure 12. Percentage of soil capability classes within and outside 1995 urban growth boundaries in WRB. The numbers at the top of the chart represent the total number of acres for each soil capability class within WRB.

LANDFORMS

Map 2. Soils

11 -

